

Analysis of the Respondent's Disclosure (June 2008)

Anticipated evidence of Mr. Michael Jack (Schedule A):

On May 29, 2008, I was interviewed by two recruiting sergeants at the OPP headquarters in Orillia – Sgt. Steve Haennel and a female sergeant whose name I do not remember. Some 20-30 minutes after the interview, when I was en route to Peterborough, I got a phone call on my cellular phone from Sgt. Haennel who told me they believed I was a good fit for their organization and they had a psychological assessment ready for me on the following day if I was interested, to which I immediately agreed. He also said that I could come in dressed casually.

On May 30, 2008, I successfully passed my psychological assessment and informed Sgt. Haennel that I had been scheduled for an interview with the Halton Regional Police on June 6, 2009, and asked him for advice on my course of action. Sgt. Haennel said that while he could not tell me what to do, he said, "We want you" and reassured me that they were going to put my application on a fast track. There was a feeling of trust in what he was saying to me so I cancelled my interview with the HRP and informed Sgt. Haennel about it via email the following day. I later learned from Cst. Alice Jolicoer, who conducted my background investigation, that Sgt. Haennel personally approached her and put my case on the top of her "to do stack" asking her to investigate me first. My background investigation was completed by mid-July.

(June 2, 2008) (Volume 6, 50):

From: Michael Jack [michaeljack@trentu.ca]
Sent: June 2, 2008 3:19 PM
To: Haennel, Steve (JUS)
Subject: RE: Hello from Michael Jack

Sgt. Haennel,

I got a phone call from OPP this morning informing me that I cleared the psychological assessment stage and moving on. I had full medical examination done this morning and I am good to go. I called Halton this afternoon and informed them I am withdrawing from their application process. All my eggs are in one basket.

Michael

Of interest is that this e-mail appears to be the only e-mail I sent to Sgt. Haennel that the Respondent provided in the disclosure. I sent more than one e-mail to Sgt. Haennel during the month of June 2008 and received e-mails from him as well.

If it was the intention of the Respondent to show that they were unbiased in gathering all e-mails from any of my personal respondents as well as anyone that had any involvement with me (such is the case of Sgt. Haennel since he is not a personal respondent) then why did the Respondent only provide one e-mail out of all my e-mail correspondence with Sgt. Haennel?

It would appear that the Respondent disclosed ONLY 8 e-mails I sent from my Justice account (Volume 1, I-106 to I-113). I sent way considerably more than 8 e-mails from my Justice account! I sent numerous e-mails to various OPP officers, yet they are nowhere to be found in the Respondent's disclosure!

For example, consider the following e-mails I printed out and saved prior to my termination:

Re Break and Enter investigation at Young's Point Public School (correspondence with Crown Attorney Kelly Eberhard) (Exhibit 34b):

Jack, Michael (JUS)

From: Eberhard, Kelly (JUS)
Sent: October 8, 2009 2:01 PM
To: Jack, Michael (JUS)
Subject: RE: r v. Vollick sp09178964

Hi Michael, thank you very much for your information. It is very helpful. I found the restitution documents, thanks. It becomes more confusing when we have an adult in the picture...I wonder if I might have the school board rep's name and number and if I could contact them and see exactly what they have in mind, then we can have a pow wow about it. thanks,
Kelly

-----Original Message-----

From: Jack, Michael (JUS)
Sent: Wednesday, October 07, 2009 4:52 PM
To: Eberhard, Kelly (JUS)
Cc: Nie, Richard (JUS)
Subject: RE: r v. Vollick sp09178964

Hi Kelly,

Here my insights into the matter:

We do have the monetary figure for the total value of the damage to the school property. I disclosed the repair bills provided to me by the Kawartha District Pine Ridge School Board to the court some 4 weeks ago. You should have them on file. If they grew legs, I saved a copy.

The Kawartha District Pine Ridge School Board is seeking monetary restitution for the damages caused. The total worth of the damage done is \$3,688.00 and that is all the school board wants back.

I spoke with the School Board representative and was advised that they wish to handle the case delicately and would prefer to resort to restorative justice if it is ok with the police.

As an investigating officer, I believe that since the offence is a first-time, non-violent offence (property damage only) the extrajudicial measures would be adequate to hold them accountable.

Three accused were 17 years old and one turned 18 just 4 months prior to the event. Not sure how you will prefer to hold the adult accountable.

Please let me know what else you would like to know.

Glad to be of assistance.

Michael

From: Eberhard, Kelly (JUS)
Sent: October 6, 2009 8:11 AM
To: Jack, Michael (JUS)
Cc: Hobbins, John (JUS); Lafreniere, Bob (JUS); Schroter, Sarah (JUS)
Subject: r v. Vollick sp09178964

hi, this accused's mum has called a couple of times wanting to know if her son is eligible for the EJS program. My gut reaction is no as it is a serious offence there were three co-accused and all ran from police plus we don't know amount of damages. I understand that all youth have no records...

Can you give me some insight into this? What do you think? Do you now have the exact damages? What is the school board's feeling...

(don't say we are going to agree to ejs becauz I am far from that but you might canvas their ideas for dealing with...

thanks,
kelly

Re: Large illegal drug grow op (letter of commendation from PC Ernie Garbutt) (Exhibit 35):

Jack, Michael (JUS)

From: Garbutt, Ernie (JUS)
Sent: October 16, 2009 9:35 AM
To: Stimson, David (JUS); Jack, Michael (JUS); Butorac, Peter (JUS)
Subject: Update

Gentlemen

Just want to thank you for the info you provided the other day about possible drug grow at 2021 Cty Rd 48. I executed a warrant on Tuesday and got over 2000 large marihuana plants behind the house. This was an organized asian crime house. They had been in the house trimming, but were gone when we showed up. We had no idea there was a set up at the house and your info put us onto it. Thanks for your help and observations.

Ernie Garbutt

Could the Counsel for the Respondent furnish to the Tribunal a rational explanation as to the reason these e-mails along with numerous other e-mails I sent and received in my Justice E-mail account were withheld? Where did all the e-mails I sent to various officers disappear to? If it was the intention of the Respondent to show that they were unbiased, why did not the Respondent disclose all my e-mails and all my officer's notes?